REMARKS

Claims 17-31 are pending in the present application. Claims 17, 20, 22 and 25-27 are

herein amended. Claim 5 is cancelled.

Claim Objections

Claims 17, 20 and 25 were objected to for minor informalities. In claim 17, line 9, the

term "circuinferentially" was changed to "circumferentially." In claim 20, line 2, "than inside

diameter" was changed to "than the inside diameter." In claim 25, line 1, "according td" was

changed to "according to." Withdrawal of the claim objections is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 22 and 26-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 22 and 26, claim 17 has been amended to recite "at least one air

ejection nozzle." In addition, claims 22 and 26 have been amended to clarify that the "at least on

air ejection nozzle comprises a plurality of air ejection nozzles."

Regarding claim 27, claim 25 has been amended to recite "at least one second auxiliary

combustible gas flame hole." Claim 27 depends from claim 25 and recites that the "at least one

second auxiliary combustible gas flame hole comprises a plurality of second auxiliary

combustible gas flame holes."

Withdrawal of the § 112 rejection is requested.

Page 7 of 9

Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.111

Application No. 10/821,980

Attorney Docket No. 020607A

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 17-31 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,736,635 (previously

Application No. 10/111,382, which is the parent application to the present divisional

application).

A terminal disclaimer signed by a representative of the assignee, Ebara Corporation, is

filed with this response. In addition, a certificate under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b), signed by a

representative of Ebara Corporation, is filed with this response.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the double patenting rejection of claims 17-31 is requested.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

Page 8 of 9

Amendment under 37 CFR § 1.111 Application No. 10/821,980 Attorney Docket No. 020607A

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Andrew G. Melick Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 56,868 Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

AGM/sg